

Compilation of CDAG and Other Partner Input

1. Four Domains Overview Discussion Piece

March 24

- a. Who is the end user for this plan?
 - i. Broad enough for general public, policy makers, patients, practices/ programs, public health community
 - ii. Maybe how/where we communicate about the plan will drive its usability. Access will be critical to the plan.
- b. Root cause analysis is the key to drive analysis of interventions
 - i. Models that address more patient centered care/community of care/ transitions in care
- c. Need for community partners to truly be linked to clinical community
- d. Add Health Communications as its own domain? It seems to be missing.
 - i. This seems like a cross-cutting theme (across all the domains), along with policy/advocacy, health disparities, quality of life, etc. From a strategic standpoint, it may be best to stick with CDC's four domains for potential future funding opportunities.
 - ii. Domains 3 and 4 both link into access. Can we condense clinical piece to be the communication between community partners and hospitals.
- e. Be sure we are incorporating all forms of public policy legislation
 - i. Take a look at policies such a tobacco that impact environment
- f. Emphasize health disparities, as they are not explicitly stated in any one domain but rather across all domains.
- g. Very heavy on clinical side/need to be sure to emphasize prevention aspect
- h. Be sure to look at policy and systems when looking at data
- i. Embracing technology to find resources and partners. Is there one common glossary to go to for resources?

April 7

- a. Cross Cutting Ideas
 - i. Evaluation and over-arching data points would be helpful to the Plan.
 - ii. Grassroots education could be either a strategy in domain 3 or a cross cutting strategy. This could modify risk factors.

2. Epidemiology and Surveillance

March 24

- a. Look at policy and systems changes under epidemiology. Make sure we know about everything going on in Indiana by improving communications so we don't have to reinvent the wheel.
- b. Gaps in Data
 - i. Who's doing what?
 - ii. Can you access data and understand how to analyze the data for a good clinical picture
 - iii. It is expensive to get data analyzed (for example HEDIS data)
 - iv. It is a challenge to get "real time" current data
 - v. We need policy, systems and environment data
 - vi. Local data is difficult to impossible to get down to city, zip code, block/neighborhoods
 - vii. Leverage data to make it more useful
 - viii. State mandates on what's reported throughout state - very "light" on incidence
 - 1. Cancer data is only required by state
 - 2. Hospital discharge/admissions
 - 3. ED Data - vital stats - Kaiser
 - 4. There are issues with standardized/uniform reporting (example: all using the same methodology to calculate screening rates) and electronic health records
 - ix. Gaps in health information exchange (EMRs)
 - x. May lean on payers for claims data
- c. What we are doing well in Indiana
 - i. Practitioners have access to data (surveillance) that can be shared
 - ii. Indiana Cancer Facts & Figures is a great example
 - iii. Other examples
 - 1. Homeless Network/HMIS System
 - 2. Communities that own local data (churches, worksites, community based organizations)
 - 3. Tech point (Health IT data)
 - 4. Marion County Health Department around food access
- d. Health by Design and Built Environment in Indianapolis

- i. GIS (Statewide) data and community health planning (How)
- ii. Leverage technology/IT for glossary page
- iii. Take ownership and send a web form or request. People are looking for a place to share information.
- iv. Be mindful of mental health
- v. 211 has great data

April 7

- a. Gaps in Data
 - iii. Out-dated data is a problem. Some data comes out more quickly than others.
 - iv. Where is data coming from? Evidence-based programs? Need a database.
 - v. Acute care and primary care lack communication.
 - vi. Claims data is more available than true data.
 - vii. Data is needed on growing disease populations. (ex: dementia)

3. Environmental Approaches

March 24

- a. What innovative tech is being developed around chronic disease? We need and IT system to connect people, organizations etc. for health
- b. Need to address financial disparities
- c. Influence political will. Organizational will and collaboration can make a difference.
 - i. Some of the policies passed do not make impact.
 - 1. We need more impactful policies
 - 2. How can we help people enforce good policies?
 - 3. Increase public health funding (we are 44th)
 - 4. Education of decision makers
 - ii. Shift from individual interventions to environmental interventions
 - 1. Reward positive systems
 - 2. Smoke-free air in multi-family housing and workplaces
 - iii. Tobacco
 - 1. Increase tobacco tax
 - 2. Taxing ALL tobacco products
 - 3. Well-funded tobacco program

- d. There are too many barriers for Hoosiers when it comes to transportation, education, finances and other resources
 - i. 96% of state money goes to cars and trucks
- e. Change our air quality/improve testing
 - i. Struggle to make case against businesses
- f. Schools/Children's' Environment need reform
 - i. Need 30 min of physical activity required in schools. Mandatory recess. (kids and orgs serving kids 0-5, pre-school, daycare etc..)
 - ii. Early childhood education minimum physical activity and nutrition requirements (farmers markets)
 - iii. Find a way to have SNAP accepted at food markets
 - iv. Shared use facilities
- g. Social/environmental injustice effects health
 - i. Quality of housing available and location needs attention
 - ii. Parkland expenditures (built environment)
 - iii. Opportunities with zoning (built environment)
 - iv. Access to recreational facilities for public/those in poverty (back to shared use)
- h. Increase breastfeeding. Breastfeeding initiation with AA moms
- i. SRTS/complete streets
- j. Baby-friendly hospitals
- k. Living in a rural area is the top indicator for obesity
- l. Attractive places to encourage health
- m. What are the costs of inaction?
- n. Increase education level

April 7

- a. Access
 - viii. There is variation in availability in primary care in Indiana. We are in the bottom 4 in the nation for funding. FQHC and primary care clinic visits could require a lot of travel for many.
 - ix. Drug crimes scare rural areas away from walking trail construction.
- b. A holistic approach is needed
 - x. Too many silos. Work toward collaboration.

- xi. Look at addressing basic needs like housing, If basic needs aren't met, everything else will fall apart.
- xii. Quality improvement. Where are time and resources being wasted?
- xiii. Which collabs. Are working?

4. Health Care System Interventions

March 24

- a. Gaps
 - i. Healthcare and social service system (working with community settings)
 - ii. Telehealth
 - iii. Social determinants are not prioritized in healthcare system
 - 1. Barriers
 - a. Reimbursement for all members of the team and community based resources (example: environment buildings)
 - b. Documentation may not cover the whole picture
 - c. Connecting between agencies
 - d. MCE, hospital, social systems
 - e. Time
 - iv. Effectiveness of intervention
 - v. Resources
 - vi. What do you do with the info?
 - vii. How do we encourage a patient care team approach?
 - viii. Healthcare providers (especially rural)
 - 1. Continuity of care
 - b. Patient Care Approach (example: integrated mental health - grant funds. EMT Trained on Asthma Care)
 - i. How can we keep the "whole" health team?
 - 1. ISDH as support? - Technical support
 - a. Medicare - diabetes prevention reimbursement
 - b. Accreditation
 - c. Home visits and follow-up case management (not easily reimbursed)

- ii. Bigger issue 0-64 more patients=more services needed (example: ACO success strong). Lobbying for improved health in community tie in (example: police - walk to school)
- iii. Prevention of Chronic Disease
- iv. Messaging: how can we reach more?
 - 1. What is our message?
 - a. Information sharing: pharmacy data as an example. Surescripts - Systems do not talk. "right people have right access"
- v. Lack of cardiac intensive rehab programs in Indiana

April 7

- a. Broad social marketing campaign in Indiana around health would be great. Broad enough to cover all populations. This will require funding.
 - xiv. Should we choose a few areas of concentration?
 - xv. Address a broad population to hear all voices.
- b. Policy
 - xvi. No current legislation that addresses chronic disease specifically.
 - xvii. Turn data into economic gain. Show how certain programs will actually help the economy.

5. Community programs linked to clinical services

March 24

- a. Dentistry
 - i. Indiana has far fewer sliding fee scale clinics than other states (example: health professional shortages). This issue has occurred in dentistry due to lack of data gathering
 - ii. Unable to find partners who have evidence based (St Franciscan) data to report - as it is too difficult to connect to EMR. Try to provide data to provide return on investment
 - iii. MHS working in community - go to people vs planning events waiting on them to attend event
- b. The best results of engaging population in programming (preventive)
 - i. YMCA is with health care provider - but the problem is they will not allow access to EMR
 - ii. YMCA diabetes preventive program now paid by Medicare
 - iii. Healthcare professional - now includes case managers (nurse practitioners, RNs PT, RRT asthma educator, CICOA, EMS, paramedicine program)

April 7

- a. IUPUI was just awarded a grant to work on this domain.
- b. It is important that we all use the same language. (social marketing campaign)
- c. Keep evidence based practices in mind.
- d. Centralized database. Make 211 more health oriented.